



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.45, No.22

June 12th, 2009

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "Every advance in art and science tends to eliminate time and labour from the productive process. It is conceivable that time and labour could be so far eliminated, that all the material goods – food, clothes, housing, transportation – necessary or convenient for the maintenance of high civilisation, might be produced easily within the space of a single year. *It would then become obvious that the social structure itself is the pre-dominant factor in economics, that commodities are mere emanations from the power of the social organism, that the community is really capitalist in chief, and that the administration of credits is a social function whereby each undertaker of enterprise is empowered to wield an economic force proportioned to his proved ability and to the magnitude of the social task.*

If we suppose that these four staples of the economic fabric – food, clothes, housing and transportation – make equal demands upon social strength, they would require equal credits; and these credits would be used up *pari passu* through the year and the accounts cleared against one another, without any considerable amount of initial capital.

Now this imaginary foreshortening of the period and effort required to create the essentials of civilisation, does not alter the principles involved in our actual social date, it only makes plainer what is now obscured – to wit, the fact that capital has already ceased to be, in the main, savings or accumulated commodities and has become mainly **social credit**.

Our actual business system is blind to the truth that the organisation of intelligence and morals – the civil community – is the principal agent of production; although that truth has been sharply emphasised by the modern grand-scaled development of public education – with its plain implication that the racial inheritance of science and art is common property, and that this kind of property is more precious than any other kind.

A world-wide business system has been built up on a false basis. This system has been supposed to have an existence independent of civil society..." – Charles Ferguson in "*The Great News*", 1915

A NATION'S BALANCE SHEET by Wallace Klinck, Canada: Social Credit holds that the purpose of production is consumption and the more *efficiently* production can be carried out the better. This means a continuing displacement of human input by technology which would/should translate into increasing leisure for humanity. The cause of disappearing "jobs" from a Social Credit standpoint is the

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *A Nation's Balance Sheet* • *China Is The Threat* • *California Is The First To Fall* • *Carbon Trading Scheme: Vent My Frustration* • *Fredrick Toben Wins Right To Appeal* • *The Constitution And Human Rights Ideologies* • *The Lawyer's Bonanza: A Bill Of Rights*

"ON TARGET"

June 12th, 2009

Page 1 (85)

replacement of human effort by non-human factors including the increasing productivity of ever-refining technology.

The purpose of production is to provide goods and services for people – not work. The Consumer Dividend and Compensated Price are the central Social Credit instruments to achieve this end. Social Credit is not work-oriented. It is consumption and leisure-oriented.

If we want to create work for mankind from dawn to dusk we can just scrap all the achievements of civilisation and go back to living in caves. "Toil not, your Heavenly Father knows you have need of these things, etc."

C. H. Douglas saw no problem whatsoever as early as the 1920s in deriving the essential statistics for implementation of the Social Credit Consumer Dividend and Compensated Price. Denis Byrne prepared comprehensive documentation of this nature in "The Case for Alberta: Parts I and II", for the Province of Alberta some six decades ago without the help of modern computing systems. This is simply a task for professional paid accountants to perform. The statistical and issuing agency required for accounting the Nation's assets and dispensing the Consumer Dividend and credits re the Compensated Price would be a statistical body operating as a government agency but, as with the judiciary, constitutionally protected from political interference in its ongoing operations.

By accepted practice, the Bank of Canada today is supposed to operate in this fashion although it is in fact an agency of orthodox financial policy which no politician has shown either the intelligence or integrity to question.

The factor which stands in the way of adopting Social Credit financial policy is not a difficulty with statistics. It is an intellectually and morally misguided, partly ill-informed but often complicit, stiff-necked, conceited and arrogant establishment which is convinced that freedom for humanity would spell the end of civilisation – for their own precious sinecure, at least, in respect of control over the resources of the earth.

CHINA IS THE THREAT by James Reed: The Chinese have reacted against the defence white paper, which in a nutshell foresees a potential threat from China. Chinese officials have said that Australia increasing its defences is likely to incite a regional arms race. Excuse me, but there is already such a race and China has started it. Only political correctness and the cult of Asia-worship – especially the crass economic motive of flogging off raw materials to China – has prevented a more open recognition that China, not global warming, is Australia's greatest threat.

The white paper cautiously notes: "Shows of force by rising powers [China is not named explicitly] are likely to become more common as their military capacities expand. Growing economic interdependence does not preclude inter-state conflicts or tensions short of war, especially over resources or political differences".

A headline in the *Sydney Morning Herald* (2-3/5/09, p.1) is more explicit "America will not protect us, warns Rudd: Arms build-up to face China". Rudd rightly sees an end to U.S. primacy in the Asia-Pacific region. The article says: "The change, caused by the rise of new great powers such as China, is set to produce growing regional tensions and a 'sudden deterioration' in Australia's security".

If defence logic were divorced from economics, we would see the utter folly of feeding China raw materials and allowing this power to grow by buying its goods. What sense is there to our globalised economy if, a few decades down the track, China invades and wipes us out – thanks of course to our 'yellow Australia' immigration policy. These sorts of civilisation-survival strategies are a long way away from how our elites think.

Thus Doug Ritchie, global head of strategy at Rio Tinto ("Foreign Money Built the Country", *The*

Australian, 5/5/09, p.101) argues that Chinese investment should be welcomed because, well, the country was built on foreign money. But this begs the question as to whether there are alternative ways of nation-building without being an eternal colony (there is – social credit) and in any case, whether what was true of the past (if true) will necessarily be true of the future. If Martians wanted to buy our resources at top dollar for the point of building a disintegrator ray, which they were likely to use to annihilate us, would it be rational to sell?

CALIFORNIA IS THE FIRST TO FALL by **Brian Simpson**: California, the world's sixth largest economy, is broke and facing a US\$21.3 billion budget shortfall. Of course we mean 'broke' in conventional economic terms but at present, until people awake to the sanity of social credit, this is the only game in town. Although the press likes to blame things on the system of direct ballot initiatives, the truth is that the 'needs' and 'greeds' of minorities and millions of illegal aliens has significantly contributed to California's plight.

Indeed, Presidents from Clinton to Bush supported the idea of home loans to people, often the 'disadvantaged' who had poor credit histories. Consequently, the sub-prime mortgage crisis. But back to California. Former muscle-bound Arnold Schwarzenegar is losing support by the day as he slashes government spending. The good news is that his days as governor of America's majority non-white state are coming to an end. California will be given back to Mexico.

CARBON TRADING SCHEME: VENT MY FRUSTRATION by **Terence Holmes**: The concept of a Carbon Emissions Trading Scheme can be seen from a variety of perspectives. The most compliant and politically correct person sees it as an instrument of environmental protection, an advantage to those who are sensible about their practices. The sceptic sees it as another tax.

Being a Social Crediter and, I hope, a realist, I see it differently again. I perceive it as another example of the type of control which is attempted by the manipulation of finance. As revealed so eloquently by Thomas Robertson in his book "Human Ecology,"... Finance, which should be the measure of wealth and the servant of economic life, has been reversed in its role and now dictates what it allows to be physically possible!

Rather than it being a measure of wealth and the medium for its distribution, finance has again been given the place of pre-eminence and is used here to influence the market itself - to enforce sanctions against undesirable practices.

Who would disagree that pollution should be discouraged? But to drive it by the power to issue and/or withhold credit takes another measure of freedom and responsibility away from the individual (capitalist) and places it in the lap of the law and thereby, the State. This, my friends, is another step towards Socialism.

How long will we continue to exchange our freedoms for someone else's proposition of economic security? Pretty soon, we will all be 'nice' – not because of its moral attribute, but because it will cost us dearly to be otherwise!

FREDRICK TOBEN WINS RIGHT TO APPEAL by **Betty Luks**: The June Adelaide Conservative Speakers' Club proved a most interesting night. Not only did I have the opportunity to present Part II of the paper "Financial Crisis: Catastrophe or Opportunity?"* but we had the pleasure of Dr. Fredrick Toben's company for dinner.

It was the night before Dr. Toben's application for permission to appeal to both the finding of the contempt of court charge and the sentence (three months imprisonment) came before the Federal Court in Adelaide.

The chairman of the CSC gave Dr. Toben an opportunity to share some of his experiences over the

last fifteen years as a 'historical revisionist'. This included the time he spent in a German prison, because he dared to think otherwise than the official version of the 'Holocaust', according to the laws of Germany. I have to say, having met Dr. Toben once again after a number of years interval, I found his 'inner growth' and 'inner peace' inspirational and encouraging.

I can report, Justice Anthony Besanko suspended the arrest warrant until the date of that hearing – August 13 – and deferred the gaol term to allow Dr. Toben to file appeal papers. The judge did remind Toben of his promise not to leave South Australia except to see his Melbourne-based lawyer.

* The audio tape of the address "Financial Crisis: Catastrophe or Opportunity? Part II" by Betty Luks is now available from Mayo Tape Library, P.O. Box 6 Hahndorf, South Australia, 5245. Price \$6.00 posted.

THE CONSTITUTION AND HUMAN RIGHTS IDEOLOGIES by Ian Wilson LL.B: Catherine Branson, president of the Australian Human Rights Committee (*The Australian*, 8/5/09, p.27) has argued: "There is a way around constitutional problems with courts and any human rights act." I suppose there is a way around anything if you stretch things enough. Branson says that there is no constitutional barrier to a human rights act because the Australian Human Rights Commission had a round-table with constitutional and human rights lawyers and unanimous agreement was reached that a constitutionally valid human rights act can be drafted. That doesn't convince me: a gathering of like minds is always likely to deliver the 'party line'.

Opponents of a human rights act have argued that the courts could use such an act to make laws contrary to the intention of parliament. Branson argues that there is 'no constitutional impediment to a human rights act requiring courts to interpret federal legislation consistently with the human rights identified in the act, so long as that interpretation was consistent with the purpose of the legislation'. Well this is a long way from what many want, where human rights can be used as a universal standard for assessing law. Later passed laws, inconsistent with the human rights act may on this account not be necessarily invalid.

Branson is concerned that a trespass on fundamental rights and freedoms has occurred. No, she is not thinking of the freedom of speech of Dr. Fredrick Tobin, but things like mandatory detention, things that the new class don't like. Presumably the idea of human rights is to knock over things like mandatory detention and sedition laws. So the courts would have usurped legislative power after all – and that violates the separation of powers from where I stand! Give me Rudd any day – at least we can vote him out!

THE LAWYER'S BONANZA: A BILL OF RIGHTS by Ian Wilson LL.B: It was good to see Bob Carr, Premier of N.S.W., 1995-2005, put the case against a bill of rights (*The Weekend Australian*, 9-10/5/09, p.20). The argument against such a bill is simple: it will give unprecedented power to unelected judges (that is, lawyers). As Carr puts it "a character is filled with decorous generalities or abstractions but judges determine what the words mean".

Carr shows that countries with a bill of rights, contrary to the likes of lawyer Geoffrey Robertson, who supports a bill of rights, are generally less, not more, free than nations with them. Parliaments, as shown by Britain, make "decisions shaped by fear of being overruled by court action on human rights grounds". This means that judges and lawyers would effectively have more power than politicians. And, as I see it, if there is a group in society that should not be given more power, it is lawyers.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.